



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/743,002	11/01/96	DAMSOHN		H	027/43042
QM61/050 EVENSON MCKEOWN EDWARDS & LENAHAN 1200 G STREET NW SUITE 700			٦	LEO,L	EXAMINER
WASHINGTON DC 20005				ART UNIT 3743 DATE MAILED:	05/03/99
				DATE MAILED:	to tent of the transition of the

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/743,002

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Leonard R. Leo

Group Art Unit 3743

Damsohn et al.

THE PI	ERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
a)	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final
calcu	extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be allated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in hyphoge.
∐ App perio	ellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any od for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a)
rippiica	int's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 14, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect,
	proposed amendment(s):
X	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims
N	OTE:
□ A	applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
 ·	
☐ Newl	ly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
The a	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition llowance because:
The a	affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.
⊠ Forpu Claim	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed:
	s phicated to 10
Claim	s rejected to: 78
☐ The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.
☐ Note t	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
Other	
	Fernand 1 Tes

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3743